Interview Summary

Application No. 09/116,147

Applicant(s)

Liuyang Yang

Examiner Examiner

Y. Lee

Art Unit 2613

	(3)	
(2) Mr. Steve Neal		
Date of Interview	_	
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:	
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7, and 13		
Identification of prior art discussed: Puri et al (6,148,026)		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, only other comments:		
		Mr. Neal asserts that Puri et al does not skip any steps du
	e. unnecessary), all of the enhancement encoding steps are	
skipped (i.e. unncessary to be encoded) when video data	are passed through the Base Layer Encoder only.	
10		
	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable	
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims	
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepunders the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMINCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable	
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep. Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMINCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR.	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep. Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMINCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR.	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	